



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,480	12/18/2001	Timothy David Warlick		9218

7590 02/03/2005
Timothy Warlick
2273 Graham Rd
Bayside, CA 95524

EXAMINER

SAFAVI, MICHAEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3673

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/020,480

Applicant(s)

WARLICK, TIMOTHY DAVID

Examiner

M. Safavi

Art Unit

3673

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) M. Safavi.

(3) _____.

(2) T. Warlick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 12 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Selisky; Finnem; Walsh, Jr.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



MICHAEL SAFAVI
PATENT EXAMINER
JAN 2005

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Warlick had stated what he felt to be differences between the claimed invention and the applied prior art. Mr. Warlick had confirmed that the claims including claim 13 are directed to a "weight" per se. Examiner had indicated that arguments to desired effect, (such as non-slip), or intended use, (such as for diving), would not serve to overcome the applied rejections under 35 USC 103. Otherwise, Mr. Warlick may submit arguments in response to the final Office action. .